1 2 3	Peter B. Fredman (Cal. Bar No. 189097) LAW OFFICE OF PETER FREDMAN PC 2930 Domingo Ave, Suite 227 Berkeley, CA 94705 Telephone: (510) 868-2626	
4	Facsimile: (510) 868-2627 peter@peterfredmanlaw.com	
5	Pamela D. Simmons (Cal. Bar No. 160523) LAW OFFICE OF SIMMONS & PURDY	
6	2425 Porter Street, Suite 10 Soquel, California 95073	
7	Tel: (831) 464-6884	
8	Fax: (831) 464-6885 E-mail: pamela@pamelaw.com	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants REGINALD and RHONDA DRAKEFORD	
10		
11	LINITED CTATES	DISTRICT COLUDT
12		DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION
		G N 20 04141 WWY
15	REGINALD and RHONDA DRAKEFORD, husband and wife;	Case No. 20-cv-04161-WHO
16	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED VERDICT
17	,	FORMS
18	VS.	Pretrial Conf.: April 5, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.
19	CAPITAL BENEFIT, INC., a California company; MARCEL BRUETSCH, an	Honorable Judge William H. Orrick
20	individual; ROBERT V. and WALTRAUD M. WILLIAMS, individually and as Trustees of the	Removed: June 24, 2020
21	Williams Family Trust; RICHARD WESTIN, an individual, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive;	Trial Date: April 26, 2021
22	Defendants.	
23		
24	AND COUNTERCLAIM	
25		
26		
27		

28

Plaintiffs'	Special Verdict (Jury Interrogatories)				
Please ans	wer each question by checking the appropriate	box.			
1.	Did the Drakefords obtain the loan primarily for <i>consumer</i> purposes?				
	Yes	No			
2.	Did Rhonda Drakeford tell Fred Mayer that sh purposes?	ne sought the loan primarily for business			
	Yes	No			
3.	Did Rhonda Drakeford tell Marcel Bruetsch the business purposes?	nat she sought the loan primarily for			
	Yes	No			
4.	Did Rhonda Drakeford know that Marcel Brue primarily for <i>business</i> purposes?	etsch believed that she sought the loan			
	Yes	No			

Special Verdict (Jury Interrogatories)

Please an	swer each question by checking th	he appropriate box.	
1.	endeavor by the parties to estim	ion of the loan contract represent a reasonable nate a fair average compensation for any loss the iled to make timely payments on their mortgagNo	nat the

Special Verdict Form	
Rosenthal Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (the "Rosenthal Act")	
Please answer each question by checking the appropriate box.	
 Did the Drakefords obtain the subject mortgage loan for consumer purposes? 	
YesNo	
105	
2. Did Defendants Marcel Bruetsch and Capital Benefit, Inc. seek to collect on the ldebt?	loan
YesNo	
3. Did Defendants Marcel Bruetsch and Capital Benefit, Inc. violate the Rosenthal while seeking to collect on the loan debt? YesNo	Act
4. Did the Drakefords suffer any actual damages as a result of Defendants Marcel E and Capital Benefit, Inc. violating the Rosenthal Act? YesNo	ruetsch
5. If yes, what is the appropriate amount of compensation to the Drakefords for the damages resulting from Defendants Marcel Bruetsch and Capital Benefit, Inc. violating Rosenthal Act?	
\$	

Special Verdict F	orm		
Real Estate Settle	ment Procedures Act ("RESI	PA") Foreclosure Waiting Time	
Please answer eac	ch question by checking the ap	ppropriate box.	
1. Did the D	Prakefords obtain the subject r	mortgage loan for consumer purposes?No	
	ndants Marcel Bruetsch and C	apital Benefit, Inc. initiate foreclosure loan was 120 days delinquent?No	
	fit, Inc. initiating foreclosure ps delinquent?	mages as a result of Defendants Marcel proceedings against the Drakefords before	
	Yes	No	
damages resulting	g from Defendants Marcel Bru	f compensation to the Drakefords for the netsch and Capital Benefit, Inc initiation before the loan was 120 days delinque	ng the

Specia	il Verdict Form	1	
Breacl	n of Fiduciary	<u>Duty</u>	
Please	answer each q	uestion by checking the appropri	iate box.
1. they o	Did Defenda wed to the Dra	•	Benefit, Inc. breach any fiduciary duty that
		Yes	No
2.	Did the Drake	efords suffer harm as a result of t	he breach of fiduciary duty?
		Yes	No
	•	11 1	pensation to the Drakefords for the harm cal Benefit, Inc. breach of fiduciary duty to
		\$	
4.	Did Defendar	nt Marcel Bruetsch engage in the	conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
		Yes	No
5. Defen	If yes, what a dant Marcel Br		ou award to the Drakefords against
		\$	

Specia	l Verdict Form			
Truth 1	In Lending Act ("TILA"	<u>"</u>		
Please	answer each question b	y checking the appropria	ite box.	
1.	Did the Drakefords ob	tain the subject mortgage	e loan for consumer purposes?	
		Yes	No	
	· ·	•	nages as a result of the Defendants' failugage loan on May 26, 2020?	ıre
	<u></u>	Yes	No	
_	•	efendants' failure to comp	ensation to the Drakefords for their actuply with the Drakefords' rescission of the	
		\$		

Specia	al Verdict Form	l			
Breach	n of Contract				
Please	answer each q	uestion by checking the	appropriate box	x.	
1.	Did the Drake	efords enter into a contra	act with the Le	nder Defendants?	
		Yes	-	No	
2. excuse		efords do all the things that the conYes		-	the Drakefords
3.	Did the Lende	er Defendants do someth	ing that the cor	ntract prohibited tl	nem from doing?
		Yes	-	No	
4.	Were the Dral	kefords harmed by the L	ender Defenda -	nts doing the proh	ibited thing?